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# AUM Library Annual Report <br> June 1, 2013 - May 31, 2014 

Submitted by:<br>Barbara Hightower, Library Dean

## Executive Summary

This year has continued to be one of change and challenge for the Library. Progress was made toward a much needed inventory of the Library's collections, a cleanup of records in the online catalog, and improvements in the comfort and appearance of the Library. Beginning in June, students could reserve group study rooms on the third and fifth floors online. The Library increased weekend hours during finals and joined the Warhawk Academic Success Center and the Learning Center in providing snacks for students on those Sundays. The chairs that had been in the classroom/lab since the late 1990's were replaced. Chairs at the tables were reupholstered in brighter colors. Students appreciated both changes. To better use available Library space and to clear space in our off-site storage for a Speech \& Hearing classroom, we discarded print and microform materials that did not support the curriculum or that are accessible in electronic format.

We saw an increase in the number of items checked out by users, and an increase in the number of users in Archives \& Special Collections. Government Documents also saw an increase in reference transactions. Tables on the second floor and the classroom/computer lab frequently were busy. The number of interactions at the Reference Desk increased by 8\%. The number of library instruction sessions declined by $33.6 \%$ in the number of sessions taught and $31.5 \%$ in the number of students attending. This decline is primarily attributed to the change in ENGL1010 assignments. Beginning in Fall 2013, students were not required to complete a research assignment in these classes.

While we made progress in some areas, the substantial cut of 20\% in the Library's O\&M budget required cancellation of resources including Wiley Interscience, Journal Citations Report, current materials in the American Chemical Society database, and downsizing the Sage Premier collection to focus entirely on education. A number of journal subscriptions were also cancelled. The loss of these resources diminishes the Library's ability to support the curriculum and research needs of AUM's students and faculty. The $26.8 \%$ increase in the number of interlibrary loan requests made by the Library's users and the decrease of $17.6 \%$ in the number items loaned by the Library to other libraries can be attributed, at least in part, to the loss of our resources.

The Library continues to face a number of significant staffing challenges. Currently seven library faculty (including the Dean), 14 full-time staff, three part-time staff, and student workers carry on the operations of the Library, which is open over 80 hours/week. The Dean is the direct supervisor for four library faculty and eight of the full-time staff (57\% of the Library's full-time employees). Direct reports to the Dean should be five ( $24 \%$ of the full-time employees). In addition, the Dean continues to serve as the Library Instruction Coordinator. When the Dean retires April 1, 2015, the Library Instruction Coordinator's duties will need to be shifted to another librarian if we are not allowed to hire a new librarian.

The Head of Public Services position remains vacant. This position is critical to operation of the Library as Access Services, Archives/Special Collections, Collection Development, Government Documents, Library Instruction, and Reference come under Public Services. In the absence of a Head of Public Services, these areas report to the Library Dean.

Government Documents continues to be staffed by two full-time staff with the assistance of Rickey Best, the Collection Development Librarian. To adequately function as a regional depository, the full-time faculty position for this area should be filled.

Conversion of two part-time staff positions to full-time positions in Interlibrary Loan and Reference in September eased the workload in these areas. In March, Tim Bailey (Systems Librarian) assumed supervision of Jason Kneip (Web Services and Emerging Technologies Librarian), removing one direct report from the Dean.

## Goals for 2014-2015

- Hire a new Dean of the Library
- Complete the Library's new website
- Complete the inventory of the collections and cleanup of the online catalog
- Increase the number of e-books
- Increase awareness of the Library's resources
- Investigate better use of existing space
- Investigate further digitization of archival collections


# Access Services <br> Circulation/Reserves 

Submitted by:<br>Karen Williams, Access Services Librarian

The Access Services unit supports the mission of the AUM Library by providing access to the physical information resources that support the curriculum and research needs of AUM's faculty, students, and staff. The unit also assists in supplying the informational needs of the general public. The Access Service unit is located on the first floor and offers the first opportunity for patrons to ask questions concerning the library, the building and the campus. This unit is responsible for checking out circulating materials, including reserve materials and books borrowed via interlibrary loan and universal borrowing. The unit is also responsible for the maintenance of the circulating collection, including shelving new books, re-shelving, shelfreading, and stacks maintenance. The Access Services unit has one faculty librarian position, 4 full-time staff positions and 2 part-time staff positions. The librarian position was staffed by Karen Williams. The full-time staff positions were staffed by Tom Russell, Tone Aultman, Tabitha Singleton, and Beth Parrish

Between June 1, 2013 and May 31, 2014 a total of materials 10,423 were circulated. This is a $4 \%$ increase from the previous year, when 10,049 materials were circulated. (See Appendix A) The literature, history, social sciences and medicine/nursing areas of the collection had the most use. (See Appendix B) The total use of traditional reserves items, including newspapers was 1,628. There were 153 items placed on traditional reserve. There were a total of 43 electronic reserve items, which were used a total of 1039 times. (See Appendix C and D)

The circulation of materials increased in the following user groups: AUM Alumni, Auburn undergraduates, Visiting Scholars, and AUM graduate and undergraduate students. All other user groups remained the same or saw a decrease in circulation. (See Appendix B)

A reserve system for the Group Study Rooms on the third and fifth floor was introduced in June. The rooms were checked out a total of 754 times. The most popular times to check out the group study rooms were between the hours of one and three. (See Appendix F)

Goals Completed for the 2013-2014 year:

1. Investigate the feasibility of conducting an inventory using shareware that integrates with Voyager. (Assessment: using the shareware, inventory was completed in both the Travel and Media collections. Procedures for inventory were developed and refined.)

Goals not accomplished for 2013-2014

1. Investigate feasibility of automatically sending delinquent accounts to the Cashier's Office via Banner. (Assessment: process and needed steps determined, interested parties contacted) This has not been thoroughly investigated.

Goals for 2014-2015

1. Continue towards the completion of the goals currently in progress and the goals not accomplished.
2. Conduct an inventory of the main, oversized, off-site and juvenile/picture book collections. Identify and search for not on shelf items.

## APPENDIX A

CIRCULATION DEPARTMENT STATISTICS FOR 2013-14
(excludes ILL charges and universal borrowing charges)

| Patron Group | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | \%Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AUM Alumni | 222 | 265 | $25 \%$ |
| AUM Spouse | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Business/State Agencies | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Community Users | 565 | 383 | $-32 \%$ |
| Consortia | 250 | 173 | $-31 \%$ |
| Continuing Ed. Students | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FAC (Auburn) | 1 | 0 | $-100 \%$ |
| GRAD (Auburn) | 4 | 2 | $-50 \%$ |
| MFAC (AUM) | 1791 | 1385 | $-23 \%$ |
| MGRAD (AUM) | 1437 | 1521 | $6 \%$ |
| MSTAF (AUM) | 631 | 612 | $-3 \%$ |
| MUND (AUM) | 5110 | 6010 | $18 \%$ |
| STAFF (Auburn) | 22 | 0 | $-100 \%$ |
| UND (Auburn) | 3 | 14 | $367 \%$ |
| Visiting Scholars | 13 | 58 | $347 \%$ |
| Total | 10,049 | 10,423 | $4 \%$ |

APPENDIX B
Circulation Transactions by Library of Congress Classification

| Class | Charge Transactions | Class | Charge Transactions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AC | 3 | DB | 2 |
| AM | 1 | DC | 69 |
| B | 66 | DD | 16 |
| BD | 7 | DF | 48 |
| BF | 143 | DG | 17 |
| BJ | 21 | DJK | 2 |
| BL | 66 | DK | 27 |
| BM | 9 | DL | 2 |
| BP | 8 | DP | 4 |
| BQ | 4 | DR | 7 |
| BR | 29 | DS | 128 |
| BS | 48 | DT | 70 |
| BT | 21 | DU | 1 |
| BV | 14 | DX | 1 |
| BX | 21 | E | 727 |
| CB | 7 | F | 155 |
| CC | 2 | G | 9 |
| CR | 3 | GA | 1 |
| CT | 6 | GE | 1 |
| D | 189 | GF | 12 |
| DA | 77 | GN | 10 |
|  |  | GR | 6 |


| Class | Charge Transactions | Class | Charge Transactions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GT | 31 | JV | 13 |
| GV | 137 | JX | 4 |
| H | 20 | JZ | 36 |
| HA | 19 | K | 5 |
| HB | 20 | KD | 9 |
| HC | 38 | KF | 54 |
| HD | 99 | KJA | 1 |
| HE | 3 | KL | 4 |
| HF | 71 | LA | 22 |
| HG | 12 | LB | 331 |
| HJ | 7 | LC | 64 |
| HM | 54 | M | 15 |
| HN | 14 | ML | 87 |
| HQ | 176 | MT | 5 |
| HS | 1 | N | 140 |
| HT | 30 | NA | 19 |
| HV | 200 | NB | 16 |
| HX | 15 | NC | 34 |
| JA | 12 | ND | 124 |
| JC | 50 | NE | 7 |
| JF | 17 | NK | 10 |
| JK | 70 | NX | 20 |
| JN | 7 | P | 75 |
| JQ | 3 | PA | 117 |
| JS | 4 | PB | 1 |


| Class | Charge Transactions | Class | Charge Transactions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PC | 14 | QR | 77 |
| PE | 25 | R | 23 |
| PF | 7 | RA | 33 |
| PG | 16 | RB | 24 |
| PJ | 8 | RC | 115 |
| PK | 1 | RD | 9 |
| PL | 46 | RF | 5 |
| PN | 755 | RG | 13 |
| PQ | 88 | RJ | 33 |
| PR | 939 | RM | 27 |
| PS | 912 | RS | 5 |
| PT | 29 | RT | 144 |
| Q | 22 | S | 8 |
| QA | 201 | SB | 9 |
| QB | 2 | SD | 1 |
| QC | 25 | SF | 1 |
| QD | 22 | SK | 1 |
| QE | 3 | T | 12 |
| QH | 33 | TA | 2 |
| QK | 3 | TD | 9 |
| QL | 24 |  |  |
| QM | 1 |  |  |
| QP | 50 |  |  |


| Class | Charge Transactions |
| :---: | :---: |
| TF | 1 |
| TJ | 1 |
| TK | 6 |
| TL | 2 |
| TN | 1 |
| TP | 8 |
| TR | 14 |
| TS | 1 |
| TT | 5 |
| TX | 10 |
| U | 8 |
| UA | 19 |
| UB | 2 |
| UF | 3 |
| UG | 4 |
| V | 3 |
| VC | 1 |
| VM | 1 |
| W | 7 |
| Y | 2 |
| Z | 30 |
| ZA | 3 |

TOTAL 8,323

Appendix C
Miscellaneous Statistics

|  | $2012-2013$ | $2013-2014$ | \%Change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ALL print reserve <br> charges (includes <br> newspapers) | 1,602 | 1,628 | $2 \%$ |
| Guest cards <br> purchased | 27 | 25 | $-8 \%$ |
| K-12 Students | 195 | 137 | $-30 \%$ |
| Monies Collected | $\$ 4550.84$ | $\$ 3,445.61$ | $-25 \%$ |

## Appendix D <br> Reserve Statistics-Print

| Semester | \# of Reserve items |
| :--- | :---: |
| Summer 2013 | 6 |
| Fall 2013 | 41 |
| Spring 2014 | 106 |
| Total | 153 |

## Electronic Reserves

| Semester | Database <br> link | Posted <br> Article | Open <br> Web | Uses |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Summer 2013 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Fall 2013 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 422 |
| Spring 2014 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 612 |
| Total | 2 | 41 | 0 | 1,039 |

> Appendix E
> MONEY RECEIVED AT CIRCULATION

| Category | Amount |
| :--- | :--- |
| Book and Material Replacement | $\$ 453.36$ |
| Fines | $\$ 2,312.25$ |
| Guest Card Purchased | $\$ 625.00$ |
| ILL Charges | $\$ 55.00$ |
|  |  |
| Total | $\$ 3,445.61$ |

## Appendix $F$

 Group Study Rooms Circulation by time of day| Time | \# of Checkouts |
| :--- | :---: |
| 8:00 am | 42 |
| 9:00 am | 36 |
| 10:00 am | 47 |
| 11:00 am | 46 |
| 12:00 pm | 53 |
| 1:00 pm | 68 |
| $2: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ | 86 |
| $3: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ | 88 |
| $4: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ | 61 |
| $5: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ | 61 |
| $6: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ | 66 |
| $7: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ | 44 |
| $8: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ | 44 |
| $9: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ | 11 |

# Archives \& Special Collections 

Submitted by:<br>Samantha McNeilly<br>Senior Library Associate, Archives \& Special Collections

## Introduction

The Archives \& Special Collections unit serves the University community in the preservation and administration of institutional records and manuscript collections. The unit's primary responsibility is to document the history of the University and to facilitate access of source material for administrators, faculty, students, and alumni.

The unit is located in room 802 of the Library Tower. The hours of operation are Monday-Friday from 7:45am until 4:45pm. Hours of operation may vary due to staff scheduling for desk shifts and other duties. The unit consists of one full-time senior library associate and one part-time student worker.

## Collection Size and Growth

Special Collections holds just over 6,000 items which have been cataloged in Voyager. During the past year, Special Collections added 36 new titles during the past year. The University Archives \& Manuscripts section currently holds approximately 750 cubic feet of records. The following materials represent the most substantial accessions over the past year:

- 6 cubic feet, Foster Dickson collection (*items delivered in June, deal completed in May)
- 2 cabinets of Tenure \& Promotion materials


## Physical Environment and Conditions

The department moved to a larger area on the $8^{\text {th }}$ floor in 2011. In the process, the department gained approximately 500 sq. ft. of floor space and was able to add shelving to accommodate a backlog of unprocessed materials which previously had been stacked on the floor or stored in study carrels on another floor. The added space allowed us to process some collections that were unable to be processed in our previous location due to space constraints, such as the records of the offices of the Chancellor and the Provost. However, after placing these materials on shelves and having received new acquisitions from various campus units, we are almost at maximum capacity once again. In an effort to maximize space efficiency, the staff identified items that could be moved to the off-site storage facility, as well as the deaccession and donation of blueprints to a local historical society. The staff also continues to strive for the most desirable environmental conditions in the unit through the following methods: running dehumidifiers when necessary.

## Records Management Activities

Senior Library Associate, Samantha McNeilly serves as the University Liaison to the State Records Commission concerning matters of records management. The department also assists faculty and staff across campus with bulk shredding needs by coordinating service through the University’s shredding vendor, Cintas.

The records disposition statistics for the past year are as follows:

- Total number of Records Disposition forms approved: 10
- Volume of records approved for destruction: 110 cu. ft.

Please see Table D for further information on records management activities for the past year.

## Projects and Accomplishments

- Archives and Special Collections has a regular student worker who assists in processing collections.
- Processing: the following collections were processed to some degree during the past year:
o Capri Files: $2 \mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{ft}$.
o Deaccession and donation of approx. 23 blueprints
- A digitization project to scan all of the photos held in the Archives was undertaken. There were approximately 700 photos added to the collection. Collection total= approx. 2000.


## Usage Statistics

The number of walk-in visitors to Archives and Special Collections during 2013-14 was 49. This figure is up from 2012-13 during which there were 41 visitors. Our largest patron group continues to be undergraduate students, followed by AUM faculty and staff and graduate students, who use our facilities at nearly an equal ratio. A detailed report of statistics in the aforementioned areas can be found at the end of this report. During 2013-14 we had two visiting scholars who conducted research in the Congressman William Dickinson Collection; it remains our most utilized collection.

## Goals for 2013-14

- Acquisition and processing of the Temple Beth Or collection
- Continue creating a working inventory of archival holdings
- Continue digital database of photos
- Increase awareness of Records Management program
- Increase awareness of Archives \& Special Collections holdings
- Continue working with the Technical Services unit to build our digital library holdings by adding digitized materials using CONTENTdm
- Analyze collections that would be suitable for digitization
- Look into feasibility of digitizing AUM Thesis collection

Table A
Archives \& Special Collections Usage Statistics

| Walk-in Visits by Patron Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Status | Faculty | Staff | Under- <br> graduat | Graduate <br> Students | Public | Visiting <br> Scholars | Total |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |
| July | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 |  |
| August | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |
| September | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 |  |
| October | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |  |
| November | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |
| December | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
|  | 2 | 3 | 19 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 30 |  |
| 2014 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |  |
| January | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |  |
| February | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 10 |  |
| March | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |  |
| April | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 * | 2 |  |
| May | 0 | 4 | 24 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 19 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B
Walk-in Visits by Collection Type/Service

| Walk-in Visits by Collection Type/f |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Special Collecti ons | Archive s | Geneal ogy I Local | Records Managem ent |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
| June | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| July | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| August | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| September | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 |
| October | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| November | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| December | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
|  | 24 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 30 |
| 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| February | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| March | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| April | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| May | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
|  | 10 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 24 |
| Totals by Collection Type | 34 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 54 |
| Total Walk-in Visits |  |  |  |  | 54 |
| *49 individuals, 54 visit types |  |  |  |  |  |

Table C
Walk-in Use by Patron \& Collection Type

| Walk-in Use by Patron \& Collection Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Status | Faculty | Staff | Under- <br> graduat <br> es | Graduate <br> Students | Public | Visiting <br> Scholars | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special <br> Collections | 3 | 1 | 20 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Archives | 0 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| Genealogy/Local <br> History | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 14 |
| Other | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 7 |  |
| Total | 3 | 6 | 22 | 3 | 13 | 0 | 1 |

Table D
Records Management Activities

| Records Management Activities |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dispositi <br> on <br> Request <br> s | Vol.of <br> Records |
| Approve |  |  |
| d (cu. ft.) |  |  |$|$

# Collection Development 

Submitted by:<br>Rickey Best, Collection Development Librarian

## Introduction

The library has seen numerous challenges during this past year caused by the loss of space to house its collections. The library has had to weed 913 serial titles, consisting of 22,477 volumes from the collection. These totals do not reflect the data for June of 2013, which will be reported on the next annual report. The loss of these titles will impact library services, both to the campus community as well as to other libraries by limiting support we can provide through Interlibrary Loan.

The library ordered 1,292 titles for the collection (1,281 monographs, 11 video recordings). During the 2013-2014 reporting year, the library received as gifts 227 hardback titles, 240 paperback, 0 periodical issues, and 11 dvd/video/audio discs. Of this total, the library added 68 hardback titles ( $30 \%$ ), 50 softback titles ( $21 \%$ ), 0 periodical issues ( $5 \%$ ), and 10 of the dvd/video/audio discs (91\%). Due to the reduction in space available to the library, a determination has been made that the library will no longer accept gifts without prior agreement.

This year saw cancellations of the Wiley Interscience Data Base and the Journal Citations Report; the American Chemical Society database, although the library did retain access to the ACS web archives product; the Sage Premier collection was downsized to focus entirely upon education, losing access to a number of social science journals which have been beneficial for course and program assessments. The library also cancelled access to the ProQuest Newsstand database, removing access to more than 200 newspapers.

The library undertook a survey of the faculty at the request of the faculty senate to discern which databases held by Auburn that the faculty wants access to. The survey indicated that a number of faculty were unaware that the library already had access to the sources they were asking us to get from Auburn.

Unfortunately, access to the Auburn library databases is not possible for the faculty on this campus because of vendor license restrictions. Using the survey, the library did identify databases such as PAIS (Political Science and Public Administration) and EdITLib (Education) which we have added. The Library has also provided access to open source databases such as ArXiv (Mathematics, Physics and Engineering). The library is continuing to review the requests and asking for price quotes from vendors.

## Database Usage Statistics

This year has seen some significant increases in use for some databases, combined with continuing reductions in use for other databases. The library is demonstrating good return on investments for the majority of its full-text subscriptions. Table one below shows average cost per full-text retrieved. Table two shows the average cost per search for those databases which are indexes only and do not link out to full text.

Table One
Cost per Full-Text Retrieval by Database

| Database | Cost per Full-Text Retrieved |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| CQ Researcher Databases | $\$ 0.31$ |
| Cinahl Plus Text | $\$ 0.37$ |
| PQ Nursing \& Allied Health | $\$ 0.74$ |
| SportDiscus | $\$ 0.94$ |
| PsycArticles | $\$ 0.97$ |
| Westlaw Campus (based on transactions) | $\$ 0.99$ |
| Criminal Justice Periodicals Index w/text | $\$ 1.25$ |
| New York Times | $\$ 1.51$ |
| JSTOR | $\$ 1.59$ |
| SocIndex w/text | $\$ 1.71$ |
| Cochrane Library | $\$ 2.35$ |
| Market Research Reports | $\$ 2.78$ |
| Communication \& Mass Media Complete | $\$ 2.89$ |
| Standard \& Poor's Net Advantage (based on |  |
| analysis | $\$ 2.95$ |
| PQ Research Library | $\$ 3.07$ |
| PsycCritiques | $\$ 3.90$ |
| Art Full Text | $\$ 4.30$ |
| Library Literature | $\$ 4.49$ |
| Science Direct | $\$ 5.55$ |
| Mergent | $\$ 5.66$ |
| Newsstand | $\$ 5.84$ |
| Sage | $\$ 6.74$ |
| LION | $\$ 8.12$ |
| PQ Dissertations \& Theses | $\$ 8.39$ |
| Oxford | $\$ 9.79$ |
| Project Muse | $\$ 13.54$ |
| PQ Congressional | $\$ 24.69$ |
| MLA | $\$ 49.51$ |
| American Chemical Society | $\$ 65.64$ |
| PsycTests | $\$ 88.65$ |
| History Vault: Black Freedom | $\$ 200.00$ |
| ERIC | $\$ 334.00$ |
| History Vault: JFK to Watergate | $\$ 500.00$ |
| Average Cost | $\$ 40.09$ |
|  |  |

Databases such as ERIC with Full-Text have been impacted by the pulling of the ERIC documents from online because of privacy issues with personally identifiable information about the researchers. ERIC still serves its purpose as an index. For patrons that need ERIC
documents, the Library can request a priority rush to correct and scan the original microfiche and make that available, though this process has not proven to be trouble free.

Databases which showed the most significant declines in full-text retrieval from the previous year are included in table three below.

Table Two
Average Cost per Search on Index only databases

| America: History \& Life | $\$ .03$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| BioAbstracts | $\$ 16.64$ |
| EconLit | $\$ .02$ |
| ERIC | $\$ 38.96$ |
| Historical Abstracts | $\$ .03$ |
| MathSciNet | $\$ .23$ |
| PsycInfo | $\$ .05$ |

Table Three
Databases Showing Usage Decline

| Database | Percentage Decline from 2013 Statistics |
| :--- | ---: |
| American Chemical Society | $<7.12 \%>$ |
| Bio One | $<53.69 \%>$ |
| Cinahl Plus Text | $<23.56 \%>$ |
| CQ Databases | $<53.79 \%>$ |
| LION | $<34.07 \%>$ |
| MLA via LION | $<42.78 \%>$ |
| Market Research.com | $<59.47 \%>$ |
| Mergent | $<88.10 \%>$ |
| Oxford Journals | $<28.55 \%>$ |
| PQ Criminal Justice Periodicals Index | $<9.54 \%>$ |
| PQ Education | $<67.89 \%>$ |
| PQ Nursing \& Allied Health | $<33.85 \%>$ |
| PsycArticles | $<0.004 \%>$ |
| PsycCritiques | $<49.74 \%>$ |
| Sage (Education) | $<5.72 \%>$ |
| SocIndex with Full-Text | $<10.53 \%>$ |
| WestLaw Campus (Docs / Lines viewed) | $<87.93 \%>$ |

Academic Search Premier: This database is available to the library through the Alabama Virtual Library. Usage data is provided to demonstrate the potential impact should the AVL cancel this product and the AUM library be required to pick it up.

Table Four
Academic Search Premier

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| June | 1,368 | 1,202 | $<12.13 \%>$ |
| July | 1,529 | 1,950 | $27.53 \%$ |
| August | 1,051 | 945 | $<10.08 \%>$ |
| September | 2,195 | 2,046 | $<6.78 \%>$ |
| October | 3,166 | 3,004 | $<5.11 \%>$ |
| November | 4,665 | 3,257 | $<30.18 \%>$ |
| December | 1,365 | 1,217 | $<10.84 \%>$ |
| January | 938 | 1,250 | $33.26 \%$ |
| February | 3,327 | 2,718 | $<18.30 \%>$ |
| March | 3,098 | 2,356 | $<23.95 \%>$ |
| April | 5,322 | $\mathbf{4 , 0 2 5}$ | $<24.37 \%>$ |
| May | 931 | 501 | $<46.18 \%>$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 8 , 9 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 4 8 9}$ | $<\mathbf{1 5 . 4 2 \%}>$ |

## Alabama Virtual Library Databases

These databases are available to the library through the Alabama Virtual Library. Usage data is provided to demonstrate the potential impact should the AVL cancel this product and the AUM library be required to pick it up.

Table Five
AVL Databases

| Database | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% Change for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $19^{\text {th }}$ Century British Newspapers | 0 | 28 | Infinity |
| $19^{\text {th }}$ Century UK Periodicals | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Academic One File | 210 | 805 | $283.33 \%$ |
| Agriculture Collection | 1 | 5 | $500.00 \%$ |
| Business Index ASAP | 137 | 139 | $1.45 \%$ |
| Business Insights: Essentials | 2,235 | 959 | $<57.09 \%>$ |
| Business \& Company Resource | 202 | 0 | $<100.00 \%>$ |


| Center |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Communications \& Mass Media <br> Collection | 5 | 108 | $2,006.00 \%$ |
| Contemporary Authors | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Contemporary Literary Criticism | 28 | 23 | $<17.85 \%>$ |
| Culinary Arts Collection | 0 | 21 | Infinity |
| Educator's Reference Complete | 67 | 123 | $83.58 \%$ |
| Eighteenth Century Collections <br> Online | 2,828 | 1,675 | $<40.77 \%>$ |
| Expanded Academic ASAP | 512 | 400 | $<21.87 \%>$ |
| Fine Arts \& Music Collection | 0 | 65 | Infinity |
| GreenR | 7 | 4 | $<42.85 \%>$ |
| Gale Literary Databases | 80 | 34 | $<57.50 \%>$ |
| Gale Virtual Reference | 53 | 14 | $<73.58 \%>$ |
|  <br> Landscape | 0 | 2 | Infinity |
| General OneFile | 89 | 36 | $<59.55 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 , 4 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 4 1}$ | $<31.18 \%>$ |

America: History and Life: The cost for this index is $\$ 3,806.08$.
Table Six
America: History and Life

| Month | Searches <br> FY 2012- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | Searches <br> FY 2013- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | \% <br> Change <br> for <br> Searches |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| June | 6,374 | 5,216 | $<18.16 \%>$ |
| July | 6,238 | 5,734 | $8.07 \%$ |
| August | 4,970 | 6,336 | $27.48 \%$ |
| September | 9,130 | 10,470 | $14.67 \%$ |
| October | 13,810 | 12,317 | $<10.81 \%>$ |
| November | 13,341 | 12,656 | $<5.13 \%>$ |
| December | 4,372 | 4,239 | $<3.04 \%>$ |
| January | 3,567 | 5,416 | $51.83 \%$ |
| February | 13,047 | 15,539 | $19.10 \%$ |
| March | 10,167 | 11,354 | $11.67 \%$ |
| April | 15,962 | 19,301 | $20.91 \%$ |
| May | 3,088 | 2,920 | $<5.44 \%>$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 4 , 0 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 1 , 4 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 1 4 \%}$ |

Cost per search \$3,806.08 / 111,498 \$0.03 = \$ . 03

It appears that the federated searching link from Ebsco that is in operation has influenced the searches attributed to America: History and Life - generalized searches were made against each database and have attributed searches more broadly than desired.

American Chemical Society: The Library subscription cost for this database is $\$ 2,200.00$.
Table Seven
American Chemical Society

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June | 17 | 31 | $82.35 \%$ |
| July | 11 | 180 | $1,536 \%$ |
| August | 16 | 6 | $<62.50 \%>$ |
| September | 3 | 52 | $1,633.33 \%$ |
| October | 53 | 17 | $<67.92 \%>$ |
| November | 5 | 48 | $860.00 \%$ |
| December | 77 | 4 | $<94.80 \%>$ |
| January | 62 | 13 | $<79.03 \%>$ |
| February | 37 | 2 | $<94.59 \%>$ |
| March | 45 | 1 | $<97.77 \%>$ |
| April | 33 | 6 | $<81.81 \%>$ |
| May | 34 | 5 | $<82.29 \%>$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 5}$ | $<7.12 \%>$ |
|  |  |  |  |

NOTE: The library was forced to cancel its subscription to the ACS current titles in January of 2014. The cost per full-text retrieved below indicates the cost per retrieval. The cost per archives reflects what the library is currently paying based on its subscription to the archives only component.

Cost per Full-text retrieved: \$23,961.25 / 365 = \$65.64
Cost per archives only (since January 2014) \$2,200 / 27 = \$81.48
As this chart indicates, the library subscription costs to the full-site were cost effective in providing access to the more current journals not included in the archives collection.

Art Full-Text: Subscription cost for this database is $\$ 5,022.30$.
Table Eight
Art Full-Text

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| June | 54 | 34 | $<37.03 \%>$ |
| July | 31 | 79 | $154.83 \%$ |
| August | 13 | 8 | $<3.84 \%>$ |
| September | 34 | 37 | $8.82 \%$ |
| October | 88 | 147 | $67.04 \%$ |
| November | 124 | 170 | $37.09 \%$ |
| December | 55 | 26 | $<52.72 \%>$ |
| January | 19 | 25 | $31.57 \%$ |
| February | 190 | 107 | $<43.68 \%>$ |
| March | 121 | 275 | $127.27 \%$ |
| April | 162 | 253 | $56.17 \%$ |
| May | 31 | 5 | $<83.87 \%>$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 4 6 \%}$ |

Cost per full-text retrieved: \$5,022.30 / 1,166 = \$4.30 per article

Biological Abstracts (ISI Web of Knowledge): Subscription Cost for this database is \$3,378.67
Table Nine
Biological Abstracts

| Month | Items <br> Requested <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | Items <br> Requested <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% Change <br> for Items <br> Requested |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| June | 6 | 0 | $<100.00 \%>$ |
| July | 3 | 33 | $1,000.00 \%$ |
| August | 8 | 23 | $187.50 \%$ |
| September | 22 | 11 | $<50.00 \%>$ |
| October | 13 | 20 | $53.84 \%$ |
| November | 10 | 18 | $80.00 \%$ |
| December | 1 | 8 | $800.00 \%$ |
| January | 1 | 33 | $3,333.33 \%$ |
| February | 27 | 21 | $<22.22 \%>$ |
| March | 71 | 30 | $<57.74 \%>$ |
| April | 24 | 0 | $<100.00 \%>$ |


| May | 0 | 6 | Infinity |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 1 3 \%}$ |

Cost per items requested $\$ 3,378.67$ / 203 = \$16.64 per article.

BioOne: Subscription cost for this database is $\$ 5,380.28$

## Table Ten

BioOne

| Month | Searches <br> 2012-2013 | Searches <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% <br> Change <br> for <br> Searches |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| June | 59 | 21 | $<64.40 \%>$ |
| July | 36 | 24 | $<22.22 \%>$ |
| August | 66 | 53 | $<19.69 \%>$ |
| September | 187 | 65 | $<65.24 \%>$ |
| October | 99 | 36 | $<63.63 \%>$ |
| November | 162 | 32 | $<80.24 \%>$ |
| December | 43 | 27 | $<37.20 \%>$ |
| January | 21 | 37 | $76.19 \%$ |
| February | 67 | 40 | $<40.29 \%>$ |
| March | 59 | 30 | $<49.15 \%>$ |
| April | 33 | 17 | $<48.48 \%>$ |
| May | 6 | 6 | $0.00 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 8}$ | $<53.69 \%>$ |

Cost per item requested $\$ 5,380.28 / 388=\$ 13.86$ per article.

Business Source Premier: This database is available to the library through the Alabama Virtual Library. Usage data is provided to demonstrate the potential impact should the AVL cancel this product and the AUM library be required to pick it up.

Table Eleven
Business Source Premier

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| June | 862 | 517 | $<40.02 \%>$ |
| July | 720 | 791 | $9.86 \%$ |
| August | 645 | 1,336 | $107.13 \%$ |
| September | 1,525 | 2,917 | $91.27 \%$ |
| October | 1,097 | 1,785 | $62.71 \%$ |
| November | 1,132 | 1,583 | $39.84 \%$ |
| December | 196 | 479 | $144.38 \%$ |
| January | 278 | 534 | $92.08 \%$ |
| February | 1,089 | 1,319 | $21.12 \%$ |
| March | 1,216 | 1,093 | $<10.11 \%>$ |
| April | 1,435 | 2,157 | $50.31 \%$ |
| May | 198 | 274 | $38.38 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 , 3 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 7 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 2 5 \%}$ |

CINAHL Plus Full-Text: Subscription cost for this database is $\$ 4,007.88$.
Table Twelve
CINAHL Plus Full-Text

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June | 1,438 | 819 | $<43.04 \%>$ |
| July | 2,360 | 889 | $<62.33 \%>$ |
| August | 963 | 67 | $<93.04 \%>$ |
| September | 909 | 1,132 | $24.53 \%$ |
| October | 1,062 | 1,285 | $20.99 \%$ |
| November | 1,797 | 1,134 | $<36.89 \%>$ |
| December | 291 | 107 | $<63.23 \%>$ |
| January | 666 | 1,110 | $66.66 \%$ |
| February | 1,562 | 1,092 | $<30.08 \%>$ |


| March | 1,144 | 987 | $<13.72 \%>$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| April | 1,465 | 1,330 | $<11.80 \%>$ |
| May | 357 | 219 | $<38.65 \%>$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 , 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 7 1 1}$ | $<\mathbf{2 3 . 5 6 \%}>$ |

Cost per full-text retrieved is $\$ 4,007.88 / 10,711=\$ 0.37$

Cochrane Library: Subscription cost for this database is \$2,712.00
Table Thirteen
Cochrane Library

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| June | 215 | 153 | $<28.83 \%>$ |
| July | 126 | 110 | $<12.69 \%>$ |
| August | 71 | 115 | $61.97 \%$ |
| September | 124 | 181 | $45.96 \%$ |
| October | 66 | 182 | $175.75 \%$ |
| November | 46 | 60 | $30.43 \%$ |
| December | 10 | 4 | $<60.00 \%>$ |
| January | 26 | 96 | $269.23 \%$ |
| February | 120 | 64 | $<46.66 \%>$ |
| March | 117 | 112 | $<4.27 \%>$ |
| April | 15 | 55 | $266.66 \%$ |
| May | 5 | 22 | $340.00 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 6 3 \%}$ |

Cost per full-text retrieved $\$ 2,712.00 / 1,154=\$ 2.35$ per article.

Communication \& Mass Media Complete: Subscription cost for this database is \$4,441.15.
Table Fourteen
Communication \& Mass Media Complete

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| June | 54 | 75 | $38.88 \%$ |
| July | 25 | 66 | $164.00 \%$ |
| August | 49 | 72 | $46.93 \%$ |
| September | 137 | 90 | $<34.30 \%>$ |
| October | 350 | 102 | $<70.85 \%>$ |
| November | 223 | 182 | $18.38 \%$ |
| December | 43 | 68 | $58.13 \%$ |
| January | 64 | 67 | $4.68 \%$ |
| February | 131 | 255 | $94.65 \%$ |
| March | 173 | 258 | $49.13 \%$ |
| April | 192 | 271 | $41.14 \%$ |
| May | 53 | 29 | $<45.28 \%>$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 4 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7 4 \%}$ |

Cost per full-text retrieved \$4,441.15 / 1,535 = \$2.89 per article.

Congressional Quarterly Database: Subscription cost for this database (CQ Researcher) is \$614.00.

Note: Other databases come with CQ Researcher.
Table Fifteen
Congressional Quarterly Databases

| Dataset | Total <br> Searches <br> FY <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / 1 3}$ | Total <br> Searches <br> FY <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ | \% <br> Change | Pages <br> Viewed <br> FY <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / 1 3}$ | Pages <br> Viewed <br> FY <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 1 4}$ | \% <br> Change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CQ Congress | 14 | 43 | $207.14 \%$ | 153 | 167 | $9.79 \%$ |
| CQ Electronic Library | 775 | 610 | $<21.29 \%>$ | 7,759 | 1,746 | $<77.49 \%>$ |
| CQ PAC | 176 | 555 | $215.34 \%$ | 2,657 | 2,094 | $<21.18 \%>$ |
| CQ Researcher | 1,037 | 1,158 | $11.66 \%$ | 12,569 | 6,483 | $<48.42 \%>$ |
| CQ SSC | 201 | 520 | $158.70 \%$ | 3,183 | 1,486 | $<53.31 \%>$ |
| CQ Weekly | 26 | 64 | $\mathbf{1 4 6 . 1 5 \%}$ | 209 | 281 | $34.44 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 2 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 3 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 5 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 2 5 7}$ | $<53.79 \%>$ |

Cost per pages viewed: $\$ 614.00 / 12,257=\$ 0.05$ per page viewed.
Table Sixteen
CQ Databases Full-Text Retrieved

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| CQ Global <br> Researcher | 8 | 95 | $1,087.50 \%$ |
| CQ <br> Researcher | 1,358 | 1,765 | $29.97 \%$ |
| CQ | 32 | 100 | $212.50 \%$ |
| Weekly |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 3 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 2 0 \%}$ |

Cost per full-text retrieved $\$ 614.00 / 1,960=\$ 0.31$ per article.

EconLit: Subscription cost for this database is \$2,433.00
Table Seventeen
EconLit

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| June | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| July | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| August | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| September | 0 | 5 | Infinity |
| October | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| November | 6 | 0 | $100.00 \%$ |
| December | 1 | 0 | $100.00 \%$ |
| January | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| February | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| March | 0 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| April | 1 | 4 | $300.00 \%$ |
| May | 0 | 1 | Infinity |
| Total | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 0 \%}$ |

Table Eighteen
EconLit

| Month | Searches <br> FY 2012- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | Searches <br> FY 2013- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | \% <br> Change <br> for <br> Searches |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| June | 3,049 | 5,167 | $69.46 \%$ |
| July | 15,716 | 5,724 | $<63.57 \%>$ |
| August | 9,905 | 6,243 | $<36.97 \%>$ |
| September | 12,839 | 10,339 | $<19.47 \%>$ |
| October | 3,531 | 12,144 | $243.92 \%$ |
| November | 4,290 | 12,525 | $191.95 \%$ |
| December | 13,108 | 4,208 | $<67.89 \%>$ |
| January | 13,632 | 5,398 | $<60.40 \%>$ |
| February | 9,096 | 15,414 | $69.45 \%$ |
| March | 4,941 | 11,365 | $130.01 \%$ |
| April | 6,153 | 19,113 | $211.59 \%$ |
| May | 6,371 | 2,892 | $<54.60 \%>$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 2 , 6 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 0 , 5 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6 9 \%}$ |

Cost per full-text retrieved \$2,433.00 / $10=\$ 243.30$ per article Cost per search \$ \$2,443.00 / 110,532 = \$0.02

Historical Abstrac: Subscription cost for this database is $\$ 3,806.08$.
Table Nienteen
Historical Abstracts

| Month | Searches <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | Searches <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% Change <br> for <br> Sessions |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| June | 6,361 | 5,163 | $<18.83 \%>$ |
| July | 6,153 | 5,730 | $<6.87 \%>$ |
| August | 4,955 | 6,275 | $26.63 \%$ |
| September | 9,093 | 10,386 | $14.21 \%$ |
| October | 13,753 | 12,222 | $<11.13 \%>$ |
| November | 13,405 | 12,581 | $<6.14 \%>$ |
| December | 4,353 | 4,213 | $<3.21 \%>$ |
| January | 3,545 | 5,407 | $52.52 \%$ |
| February | 12,985 | 15,489 | $19.28 \%$ |
| March | 10,103 | 11,403 | $12.86 \%$ |
| April | 15,900 | 19,275 | $21.22 \%$ |
| May | 3,066 | 2,889 | $<5.77 \%>$ |


| Total | 103,672 | 111,033 | $7.10 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Cost per search is $\$ 3,806.08 / 111,033=\$ 0.03$ per search.

JSTOR: Maintenance costs for these databases are \$34,600.00 annually.
Table Twenty
JSTOR

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| June | 1,326 | 1,090 | $<17.79 \%>$ |
| July | 1,345 | 1,309 | $<2.67 \%>$ |
| August | 784 | 717 | $<8.54 \%>$ |
| September | 2,031 | 1,381 | $<32.00 \%>$ |
| October | 1,834 | 2,173 | $18.48 \%$ |
| November | 3,125 | 2,609 | $<16.51 \%>$ |
| December | 1,310 | 1,249 | $<4.65 \%>$ |
| January | 1,230 | 1,120 | $<8.94 \%>$ |
| February | 1,965 | 2,486 | $26.51 \%$ |
| March | 2,047 | 2,830 | $38.25 \%$ |
| April | 3,252 | 3,671 | $12.88 \%$ |
| May | 670 | 996 | $48.65 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 0 , 9 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 6 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 4 0 \%}$ |

Cost per full-text retrieved $\$ 34,600.00$ / 21,631 $=\$ 1.59$ per article retrieved.

Library Literature: Subscription cost for this database is \$2,206.67
Table Twenty-One
Library Literature

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| June | 16 | 24 | $50.00 \%$ |
| July | 41 | 20 | $<51.21 \%>$ |
| August | 14 | 8 | $<42 . .85 \%$ |


| September | 8 | 32 | $300.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| October | 12 | 62 | $416.66 \%$ |
| November | 41 | 154 | $275.60 \%$ |
| December | 91 | 34 | $<62.63 \%>$ |
| January | 40 | 11 | $<72.50 \%>$ |
| February | 53 | 24 | $<54.71 \%>$ |
| March | 46 | 25 | $<45.65 \%>$ |
| April | 73 | 82 | $12.32 \%$ |
| May | 14 | 15 | $7.14 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 3 5 \%}$ |

Cost per full-text retrieved is $\$ 2,206.67$ / 491 = \$4.49 per article.

LION / MLA: Subscription cost for this database is \$3,616 (LION); \$5,496 (MLA)
Table Twenty-Two
LION / MLA

| Month | LION FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | LION FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | LION \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved | MLA FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | MLA FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | MLA \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| June | 40 | 44 | $10.00 \%$ | 2 | 6 | $200.00 \%$ |
| July | 62 | 15 | $<75.80 \%>$ | 7 | 5 | $<28.57 \%>$ |
| August | 13 | 9 | $<30.76 \%>$ | 11 | 7 | $<36.36 \%>$ |
| September | 46 | 69 | $50.00 \%$ | 19 | 4 | $<78.94 \%>$ |
| October | 78 | 128 | $64.10 \%$ | 23 | 14 | $<39.13 \%>$ |
| November | 122 | 73 | $<40.16 \%>$ | 32 | 1 | $<96.87 \%>$ |
| December | 76 | 23 | $<69.73 \%>$ | 20 | 6 | $<70.00 \%>$ |
| January | 45 | 7 | $<84.44 \%>$ | 19 | 8 | $<57.89 \%>$ |
| February | 39 | 35 | $<10.25 \%>$ | 2 | 7 | $250.00 \%$ |
| March | 9 | 14 | $55.55 \%$ | 23 | 11 | $<52.17 \%>$ |
| April | 130 | 22 | $<83.07 \%>$ | 21 | 26 | $23.80 \%$ |
| May | 14 | 6 | $<57.14 \%>$ | 15 | 16 | $6.66 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 5}$ | $<34.07 \%>$ | $\mathbf{1 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ | $<42.78 \%>$ |

Cost per full-text retrieved LION is \$3,616.00 / \$8.12
Cost per full-text retrieved MLA is $\$ 5,496.00$ / $\$ 49.51$

Market Research Database: Subscription cost for this database is $\$ 3,045.00$

## Table Twenty-Three <br> Market Research

| Month | Pages <br> Viewed <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | Pages <br> Viewed <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% <br> Change in <br> Pages <br> Viewed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 2,702 | 1,095 | $<59.47 \%>$ |

Cost per pages viewed is $\$ 3,045 / 1,095=\$ 2.78 \%$

MathSciNet: Subscription cost for this database is \$565.95
Table Twenty-Four
MathSciNet

| Month | Searches <br> FY <br> 2012- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | Searches <br> FY <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | \% <br> Change <br> for <br> Searches | Records <br> Viewed <br> FY <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | Records <br> Viewed <br> FY <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | \% <br> Change |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| June | 147 | 378 | $157.14 \%$ | 42 | 185 | $340.47 \%$ |
| July | 136 | 432 | $217.64 \%$ | 56 | 220 | $292.85 \%$ |
| August | 203 | 319 | $57.14 \%$ | 75 | 171 | $128.00 \%$ |
| September | 242 | 339 | $40.08 \%$ | 69 | 161 | $133.33 \%$ |
| October | 126 | 208 | $65.07 \%$ | 36 | 103 | $186.11 \%$ |
| November | 273 | 117 | $<57.14 \%>$ | 105 | 35 | $<66.66 \%>$ |
| December | 67 | 79 | $17.91 \%$ | 21 | 36 | $71.42 \%$ |
| January | 116 | 96 | $<17.24 \%>$ | 74 | 40 | $<45.94 \%>$ |
| February | 32 | 120 | $275.00 \%$ | 6 | 60 | $900.00 \%$ |
| March | 133 | 92 | $<30.82 \%>$ | 74 | 32 | $<56.75 \%>$ |
| April | 152 | 118 | $<22.36 \%>$ | 49 | 64 | $30.61 \%$ |
| May | 107 | 124 | $15.88 \%$ | 53 | 75 | $41.50 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 7 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 2 2}$ | $39.67 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 . 0 9 \%}$ |

Cost per search is $\$ 565.95$ / 2,422 = \$0.23 per search.
Cost per record viewed is $\$ 565.95 / 1,182=\$ 0.47$ per record.

Mergent: Subscription cost for this database is $\$ 7,800$
Table Twenty-Five
Mergent

| Month | Searches <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | Searches <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% <br> Change <br> for <br> Searches | Pages <br> Viewed <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | Pages <br> Viewed <br> FY 2013- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | \% <br> Change in <br> Pages <br> Viewed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 3,364 | 348 | $<89.65 \%>$ | 11,580 | $\mathbf{1 , 3 7 8}$ | $<88.10 \%>$ |

Cost per search is $\$ 7,800 / 348=\$ 22.41$ per search
Cost per page viewed is $\$ 7,800 / 1,378 \$ 5.66$ per page viewed.

Oxford Journals: Subscription cost for this database is $\$ 7,472.00$

## Table Twenty-Six Oxford

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| June | 50 | 30 | $<40.00 \%>$ |
| July | 42 | 58 | $38.09 \%$ |
| August | 79 | 38 | $<51.89 \%>$ |
| September | 40 | 56 | $40.00 \%$ |
| October | 114 | 86 | $<24.56 \%>$ |
| November | 57 | 89 | $56.14 \%$ |
| December | 22 | 23 | $4.54 \%$ |
| January | 63 | 23 | $<63.49 \%>$ |
| February | 111 | 90 | $<18.91 \%>$ |
| March | 133 | 82 | $<38.34 \%>$ |
| April | 124 | 152 | $22.58 \%$ |
| May | 233 | 36 | $<84.54 \%>$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 0 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 6 3}$ | $<\mathbf{2 8 . 5 5 \%}>$ |

Cost per full-text retrieved is $\$ 7,472.00$ / $763 \$ 9.79$ per article retrieved.

Point of View Reference Center: This database is available to the library through the Alabama Virtual Library. Usage data is provided to demonstrate the potential impact should the AVL cancel this product and the AUM library be required to pick it up.

Table Twenty-Seven
Opposing Viewpoints in Context

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| June | 70 | 22 | $<68.57 \%>$ |
| July | 98 | 136 | $38.75 \%$ |
| August | 0 | 6 | Infinity |
| September | 155 | 16 | $<89.67 \%>$ |
| October | 75 | 16 | $<78.66 \%>$ |
| November | 37 | 29 | $<21.62 \%>$ |
| December | 4 | 8 | $100.00 \%$ |
| January | 5 | 28 | $460.00 \%$ |
| February | 23 | 257 | $1,017.39 \%$ |
| March | 23 | 127 | $452.17 \%$ |
| April | 48 | 154 | $220.83 \%$ |
| May | 1 | 2 | $100.00 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 6 0 \%}$ |

PQ Databases General: Subscription cost for these databases are included in the following table, along with the cost per full-text

Table Twenty-Eight
ProQuest Databases

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| CJPI | 1,372 | 1,241 | $<9.54 \%>$ |
| Congressional | 18 | 114 | $533.33 \%$ |
| Dissertation <br> \& Theses | 211 | 540 | $155.92 \%$ |
| Ethnic <br> Newswatch * | 79 | 160 | $102.53 \%$ |
| ERIC | 8 | 14 | $75.00 \%$ |


| History <br> Vault-JFK- <br> Watergate | 0 | 2 | Infinity |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| History <br> Vault-Black <br> Freedom 20 <br> Century | 1 | 5 | $500.00 \%$ |
| History <br> Vault- <br> Vietnam War | 0 | 1 | Infinity |
| New York <br> Times <br> (Historical) | 888 | 3,246 | $265.54 \%$ |
| News stand | 212 | 455 | $114.62 \%$ |
|  <br> Allied Health | 6,923 | 4,579 | $<33.85 \%>$ |
| Research <br> Library | 1,156 | 3,773 | $226.38 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 , 8 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 1 8 \%}$ |

* Ethnic Newswatch is an AVL supplied database. Costs per full-text are not included in the table below.

| Table Twenty-Nine <br> ProQuest Databases Cost per Full-Text Retrieved |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Month | Subscription Cost | Full-Text Retrieved 2013-2014 | Cost per full text retrieved |
| CJPI | \$1,555.00 | 1,241 | \$1.25 |
| Congressional | \$2,815.00 | 114 | \$24.69 |
| Dissertation \& Theses | \$4,535.00 | 540 | \$8.39 |
| ERIC | \$4,676.00 | 14 | \$334.00 |
| History Vault-JFKWatergate | \$0.00 | 2 | \$0.00 |
| History <br> Vault-Black <br> Freedom $20^{\text {th }}$ <br> Century | \$1,000.00 | 5 | \$200.00 |
| History VaultVietnam War | \$500.00 | 1 | \$500.00 |
| New York Times (Historical) | \$4,913.00 | 3,246 | \$1.51 |


| News Stand | $\$ 2,661.00$ | 455 | $\$ 5.84$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  <br> Allied Health | $\$ 3,429.00$ | 4,579 | $\$ 0.74$ |
| Research <br> Library | $\$ 11,594.00$ | 3,773 | $\$ 3.07$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{\$ 3 7 , 6 7 8 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 1 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 . 6 6}$ |

PQ Education Full-Text: Subscription cost for this database is included in the cost for ProQuest Research Library

Table Thirty
PQ Education Full-Text

| Month | FT <br>  <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 3,336 | 1,071 | $<67.89 \%>$ |

Project Muse: The subscription cost for this database is $\$ 12,312.00$.
Table Thirty-One
Project Muse

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| June | 20 | 28 | $40.00 \%$ |
| July | 79 | 57 | $<27.84 \%>$ |
| August | 30 | 37 | $23.33 \%$ |
| September | 61 | 87 | $42.62 \%$ |
| October | 52 | 91 | $75.00 \%$ |
| November | 87 | 145 | $66.66 \%$ |
| December | 59 | 28 | $<52.54 \%>$ |
| January | 45 | 17 | $<62.22 \%>$ |
| February | 126 | 125 | $<0.01>\%$ |
| March | 44 | 106 | $140.90 \%$ |
| April | 64 | 139 | $117.18 \%$ |
| May | 15 | 47 | $213.33 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 9 9 \%}$ |

The cost per full-text article retrieved is $\$ 12,312.00 / 907=\$ 13.57$ per article.

PsycArticles: The subscription cost for this database is $\$ 7,447.09$
Table Thirty-Two
PsycArticles

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| June | 321 | 421 | $31.15 \%$ |
| July | 384 | 468 | $21.87 \%$ |
| August | 635 | 351 | $<44.72 \%>$ |
| September | 789 | 630 | $<20.15 \%>$ |
| October | 923 | 904 | $<2.05 \%>$ |
| November | 549 | 1,320 | $140.43 \%$ |
| December | 204 | 206 | $.01 \%$ |
| January | 314 | 281 | $<10.50 \%>$ |
| February | 865 | 895 | $3.46 \%$ |
| March | 592 | 932 | $57.43 \%$ |
| April | 1,766 | 1,075 | $<39.12 \%>$ |
| May | 304 | 132 | $<56.57 \%>$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 , 6 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 6 1 5}$ | $<\mathbf{0 . 0 0 4 \% >}$ |

Cost per full-text retrieved is \$7,447.09 / 7,615 = \$0.97 per article retrieved.

PsycCritiques: The subscription cost for this database is $\$ 757.63$
Table Thirty-Three
PsycCritiques

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| June | 23 | 25 | $8.69 \%$ |
| July | 12 | 1 | $<91.66 \%>$ |
| August | 16 | 10 | $<37.50 \%>$ |
| September | 15 | 37 | $146.66 \%$ |
| October | 27 | 32 | $18.51 \%$ |
| November | 52 | 24 | $<53.84 \%>$ |
| December | 22 | 7 | $<68.18 \%>$ |
| January | 5 | 4 | $<20.00 \%>$ |
| February | 84 | 26 | $<69.04 \%>$ |
| March | 23 | 10 | $<56.52 \%>$ |


| April | 95 | 15 | $<84.21 \%>$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| May | 12 | 3 | $<75.00 \%>$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 4}$ | $<49.74 \%>$ |

Cost per full-text retrieved is $\$ 3.90$ per critique.

PsycInfo: The subscription cost for this database is $\$ 6,442.72$

## Table Thirty-Four <br> PsycInfo

| Month | Searches <br> FY 2012- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | Searches <br> FY 2013- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | \% <br> Change <br> for <br> Searches |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| June | 6,746 | 5,768 | $<14.49 \%>$ |
| July | 6,367 | 6,186 | $<2.97 \%>$ |
| August | 5,840 | 11,576 | $98.21 \%$ |
| September | 10,209 | 11,576 | $13.39 \%$ |
| October | 14,981 | 13,236 | $<11.64 \%>$ |
| November | 14,095 | 13,599 | $<3.51 \%>$ |
| December | 4,730 | 4,429 | $<6.36 \%>$ |
| January | 3,910 | 5,853 | $49.69 \%$ |
| February | 13,939 | 16,566 | $18.84 \%$ |
| March | 10,307 | 12,152 | $17.90 \%$ |
| April | 16,749 | 20,131 | $20.19 \%$ |
| May | 3,536 | 3,224 | $<8.82 \%>$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 1 , 4 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 9 , 5 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 3 2 \%}$ |

Cost per search is $\$ 6,442.72 / 119,575=\$ 0.05$ per search.

Psyc Tests: The subscription cost for this database is \$3,277.00
Table Thirty-Five
Psyc Tests

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| June | NA | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| July | NA | 14 | Infinity |
| August | NA | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| September | NA | 1 | Infinity |
| October | NA | 1 | Infinity |
| November | NA | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| December | NA | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| January | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| February | 2 | 13 | $550.00 \%$ |
| March | 0 | 1 | Infinity |
| April | 4 | 5 | $25.00 \%$ |
| May | 0 | 2 | Infinity |
| Total | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 6 . 6 6 \%}$ |

Note: Psyc Tests has only been available to the AUM Library since January, 2013.
Cost per full text retrieved is $\$ 3,277.00$ / $37=\$ 88.56$ per full text retrieved

Sage: The subscription cost for this database is $\$ 14,366.00$
Table Thirty-Six
Sage

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| June | 243 | 130 | $86.92 \%$ |
| July | 146 | 86 | $69.76 \%$ |
| August | 218 | 115 | $89.56 \%$ |
| September | 253 | 167 | $51.49 \%$ |
| October | 171 | 218 | $127.48 \%$ |
| November | 248 | 187 | $32.62 \%$ |
| December | 164 | 48 | $241.66 \%$ |
| January | 87 | 167 | $191.95 \%$ |


| February | 254 | 211 | $20.37 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| March | 198 | 275 | $138.88 \%$ |
| April | 201 | 466 | $231.84 \%$ |
| May | 70 | 61 | $14.75 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 2 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 3 1}$ | $<5.72 \%>$ |

Cost per full text retrieved is $\$ 14,366.00 / 2,131=\$ 6.74$
Note: Because of cost and decline in usage, the library has cancelled the Sage Full-Text collection and subscribed only to the Education sub-set of the collection.

Science Direct: The subscription cost for this database is \$55,537.77
Table Thirty-Seven
Science Direct

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| June | 947 | 546 | $73.44 \%$ |
| July | 775 | 717 | $8.08 \%$ |
| August | 646 | 1,024 | $158.51 \%$ |
| September | 1,036 | 1,213 | $117.08 \%$ |
| October | 800 | 1,318 | $164.75 \%$ |
| November | 1,005 | 1,195 | $118.90 \%$ |
| December | 428 | 600 | $140.18 \%$ |
| January | 572 | 646 | $112.93 \%$ |
| February | 972 | 892 | $8.96 \%$ |
| March | 788 | 813 | $103.17 \%$ |
| April | 1,021 | 1,305 | $127.81 \%$ |
| May | 60 | 450 | $750.00 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 , 0 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 7 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 . 4 4 \%}$ |

Cost per full text retrieved is $\$ 59,533.77 / 10,719=\$ 5.55$

SocIndex: The subscription cost for this database is $\$ 5,117.72$
Table Thirty-Eight

## SocIndex

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| June | 155 | 179 | $15.48 \%$ |
| July | 121 | 245 | $102.47 \%$ |
| August | 89 | 82 | $<7.86 \%>$ |
| September | 225 | 269 | $19.55 \%$ |
| October | 343 | 400 | $16.61 \%$ |
| November | 706 | 418 | $<40.79 \%>$ |
| December | 135 | 163 | $20.74 \%$ |
| January | 86 | 149 | $73.25 \%$ |
| February | 442 | 308 | $<30.31 \%>$ |
| March | 306 | 238 | $<22.22 \%>$ |
| April | 646 | 503 | $<22.13 \%>$ |
| May | 88 | 36 | $<59.09 \%>$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 , 3 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 9 0}$ | $<\mathbf{1 0 . 5 3 \%} \mathbf{r}$ |

Cost per full text retrieved is \$5,117.72 / 2,990 = \$1.71 per article

Sport Discus: The subscription cost for this database is $\$ 3,404.00$.

## Table Thirty-Nine <br> Sport Discus

| Month | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | FT <br> Retrieved <br> FY 2013- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | \% <br> Change <br> for FT <br> Retrieved |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| June | 192 | 292 | $52.08 \%$ |
| July | 173 | 309 | $78.61 \%$ |
| August | 371 | 175 | $<52.83 \%>$ |
| September | 64 | 337 | $426.56 \%$ |
| October | 43 | 456 | $90.57 \%$ |
| November | 223 | 408 | $82.95 \%$ |
| December | 350 | 95 | $<72.85 \%>$ |
| January | 137 | 118 | $<13.86 \%>$ |
| February | 49 | 493 | $906.12 \%$ |


| March | 25 | 325 | $1,200.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| April | 54 | 523 | $868.51 \%$ |
| May | 95 | 60 | $<36.84 \%>$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 7 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 2 . 1 9 \%}$ |

Cost per full text retrieved is $\$ 3,404.00$ / 3,591 = \$0.94 per article

Standard \& Poor's Net Advantage: The subscription cost for this database is $\$ 8,337.20$.
Table Forty
Standard \& Poor's Net Advantage

| Month |  <br> Analysis <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 |  <br> Analysis <br> FY 2013- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | \% Change <br>  <br> Analysis | Total <br> usage <br> FY 2012- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | Total <br> usage FY <br> 2013-2014 | \% Change <br> in Total <br> Usage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| January | 20 | 27 | $35.00 \%$ | 56 | 194 | $246.42 \%$ |
| February | 68 | 383 | $463.23 \%$ | 269 | 1,264 | $369.88 \%$ |
| March | 50 | 234 | $368.00 \%$ | 388 | 1,309 | $237.37 \%$ |
| April | 231 | 414 | $79.22 \%$ | 987 | 1,763 | $78.62 \%$ |
| May | 74 | 199 | $168.91 \%$ | 319 | 1,767 | $453.91 \%$ |
| June | 81 | 84 | $3.70 \%$ | 850 | 474 | $<44.23 \%>$ |
| July | 79 | 115 | $45.56 \%$ | 690 | 559 | $<18.98 \%>$ |
| August | 42 | 755 | $1,697.61 \%$ | 226 | 6,464 | $2,760.17 \%$ |
| September | 85 | 177 | $51.97 \%$ | 388 | 1,073 | $176.54 \%$ |
| October | 60 | 228 | $280.00 \%$ | 261 | 1,014 | $288.50 \%$ |
| November | 69 | 158 | $128.98 \%$ | 479 | 594 | $24.00 \%$ |
| December | 56 | 49 | $<12.50 \%>$ | 165 | 136 | $<17.57 \%>$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 8 . 5 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 0 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 6 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 7 . 1 1 \%}$ |

Cost per use is $\$ 8,337.20 / 16,611=\$ 0.50$ per usage
Cost per data analysis is $\$ 8,337.20 / 2,823=\$ 2.95$ per analysis

Westlaw Campus: The subscription cost for this database is $\$ 7,961.76$.
Table Forty-One
Westlaw Campus

| Month | Transactions <br> FY 2012- <br> 2013 | Transactions <br> FY 2013- <br> 2014 | \% Change <br> for <br> Transactions | Docs / <br> Lines FY <br> 2012- <br> 2013 | Docs <br> Lines FY <br> 2013- <br> 2014 | \% <br> Change <br> Docs / <br> Lines |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | 10,585 | 12,982 | $22.64 \%$ | 340,804 | 41,109 | $<87.93 \%>$ |

Cost per transaction $=\$ 7,961.76 / 12,982=\$ 0.99$ per transaction
Cost per docs viewed $=\$ 7,961.76 / 41,109=\$ 0.19$ per document lines viewed

# Government Information Services 

Submitted by:<br>Rickey Best, Collection Development Librarian Lanita Crawford, Senior Library Associate

The Government Information area continues to suffer from full-time support from a librarian. The collection development librarian handles the review of disposition lists from the selective libraries that AUM has responsibility for. In addition, the librarian makes claims for materials that have not been received by our library.

As indicated by table one below, on site usage of the documents collection has increased by slightly more than $10 \%$.

Table 1: Documents Reference Transaction

| Year | Documents | Legal | Telephone | Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 2 0 1 4}$ | 270 | 36 | 0 | 306 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / 2 0 1 3}$ | 264 | 15 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 279 |
| \% Change | $+\mathbf{2 . 2 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{+ 1 4 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | n/a | $\mathbf{+ 1 0 . 3 9 \%}$ |

As table 2 reports, actual paper use increased by more than $25 \%$. Overall usage of the documents and legal-collection in tangible formats decreased significantly. The library has not yet been able to implement a measure to track electronic access to government publications, though legal publications usage is tracked using figures provided from the Westlaw database.

Table 2: In-House Documents \& Legal Usage

| Year | Paper | Fiche | Legal | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2013 / 2014$ | 118 | 0 | 30 | 148 |
| $2012 / 2013$ | 94 | 4 | 102 | 204 |
| \% Change | $+\mathbf{2 5 . 5 3 \%}$ | $-\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $-70.59 \%$ | $-27.45 \%$ |

Table 3 shows relative stability in the amount of resources received by the library, with only a $.002 \%$ difference from last year. The amount of paper documents received is up, but fiche and electronic documents are down. The decrease in fiche was expected, as the Government Printing Office is having difficulty in finding vendors to produce the microfiche. As fiche availability decreases, the electronic format will increase.

Table 3: Documents Received by Type

| Year | Paper | Fiche | Electronic | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 2 0 1 4}$ | 4,192 | 2,637 | 100 | 6,929 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / 2 0 1 3}$ | 3,860 | 2,925 | 129 | 6,914 |
| \% Change | $\mathbf{+ 8 . 6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 9 . 8 5 \%}$ | $-\mathbf{2 2 . 4 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{+ . 0 0 2 \%}$ |

The significant increase this year in the number of items discarded is due to a weeding project that discarded superseded publications and hearings which were replicated in microformat. The project had not taken place for a number of years due to the lack of attention paid to the documents collection by not having a depository librarian.

Table 4: Items discarded by Type

| Year | Paper | Fiche | Maps | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 / 2 0 1 4}$ | 2,851 | 0 | 201 | 3,052 |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 / 2 0 1 3}$ | 1,705 | 13 | 308 | 2,026 |
| \% Change | $+\mathbf{6 7 . 2 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ | $-\mathbf{3 4 . 7 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{+ 5 0 . 6 4 \%}$ |

As a new entity in the documents report, figures on the number of records per month are being reported here for the first time. The numbers do not align perfectly with the reports for documents received by type as the records relate to bibliographic records loaded in the OPAC versus the individual records by format. Documents reflected in table 1 are by item type whereas the bibliographic records can cover multiple items.

Table 5: Document Records Loaded in OPAC

|  | Month |
| :--- | ---: |
| June 2013 | Records Loaded |
| July 2013 | 285 |
| August 2013 | 306 |
| September 2013 | 282 |
| October 2013 | 251 |
| November 2013 | 1,311 |
| December 2013 | 329 |
| January 2014 | 206 |
| February 2014 | 70 |
| March 2014 | 621 |
| April 2014 | 1,230 |
| May 2014 | 109 |
| Total | 1,442 |

# Interlibrary Loan 

Submitted by:<br>Karen Williams<br>Access Services Librarian

The AUM Interlibrary Loan Department supports the mission of the AUM Library by locating and borrowing materials to meet the information needs of students, faculty, and staff. The Library also lends its resources to libraries across the nation and around the world to support global education.

In 2013-2014, the ILL unit was staffed by Karen Williams, Beth Parrish, and one 20-hr student assistant.

This past year, interlibrary loan borrowing requests increased, with 1854 transactions this year compared to 1357 transactions in 2012-2013, an increase of 26.8 percent. Lending transactions decreased from 1326 items lent in 2012-2013 to 1092 items lent in 2013-2014, a decrease of 17.6 percent. (See Appendix 1)

AUM provided 152 copies of articles to NAAL libraries last year and loaned 471 books, for a total of 623 lending transactions, a 19.6 percent decrease from the previous year. Borrowing increased with 586 original items and 408 copies from NAAL members totaling 994 borrowing transactions, an increase of 30.4 percent. AUM borrowed 53.6 percent of requested materials from NAAL members during 2013-2014, an increase of 2.1 percent. Materials loaned to NAAL members decreased by 1.4 percent, with 57 percent of material being loaned to NAAL members. (See Appendix 2 and 3)

Unfilled lending transactions (where the AUM library could not supply materials to another library) increased by15.3 percent. (See Appendix 4a)

398 borrowing requests were filled "in house," with the majority available through the internet. In addition, 166 borrowing requests were not filled. (See Appendix 4b and 4c)

The top five departments with the highest number of interlibrary loan requests (both filled and unfilled) for the past year were: English -782; History -512; Fine Arts 162; Business 148; and Psychology 84. (See Appendix 5)

## Universal Borrowing/ALLIES

In the past year, AUM filled 91 requests through UB and charged out approximately 199 items to "walk-in" UB patrons. In addition, AUM patrons requested 394 items via UB and checked out 598 items. There were approximately 17 requests that were unfilled, which means that there were 221 items that AUM patrons checked out at another UB library. (see appendix 6)

## Off-site Storage

Between June 1, 2013 and May 31, 2014, 57 books and 251 reels of microfilm were retrieved from off-site storage for AUM library patrons. In addition 45 Lending Loan requests were filled with items from off-site storage. (These lending statistics are included with the total number of filled interlibrary loan lending requests.)

## Goals completed for 2013-2014

- ILLIAD has been upgraded to version 8.4


## On-going

- Evaluating and making needed changes to the ILLIAD software is an ongoing project.


## Goals for 2014-2015

- Upgrade ILLIAD to version 8.5.

Appendix 1
ILL STATISTICS
JUNE 2013 TO MAY 2014

| **** | LENDING |  | BORROWING |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| **** | BOOKS | COPIES | BOOKS | COPIES |
| June | 50 | 44 | 94 | 76 |
| July | 69 | 28 | 71 | 36 |
| Aug. | 71 | 29 | 64 | 28 |
| Sept. | 84 | 36 | 73 | 94 |
| Oct. | 97 | 45 | 82 | 95 |
| Nov. | 77 | 29 | 50 | 64 |
| Dec. | 29 | 11 | 30 | 30 |
| Jan. | 48 | 8 | 107 | 98 |
| Feb. | 81 | 16 | 140 | 77 |
| March | 80 | 23 | 141 | 81 |
| April | 47 | 19 | 111 | 49 |


| May | 46 | 25 | 86 | 77 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL | 779 | 313 | 1049 | 805 |

TOTAL INTERLIBRARY LOAN (all) 2946

Appendix 2
ILL NAAL STATISTICS JUNE 2013 TO MAY 2014

| **** | LENDING |  | BORROWING |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| **** | BOOKS | COPIES | BOOKS | COPIES |
| June | 26 | 19 | 50 | 36 |
| July | 41 | 12 | 25 | 16 |
| Aug. | 38 | 15 | 39 | 16 |
| Sept. | 56 | 15 | 46 | 50 |
| Oct. | 65 | 16 | 47 | 53 |
| Nov. | 48 | 13 | 30 | 35 |
| Dec. | 19 | 3 | 29 | 31 |
| Jan. | 28 | 6 | 58 | 44 |
| Feb. | 52 | 12 | 84 | 47 |
| March | 43 | 14 | 66 | 31 |
| April | 29 | 12 | 78 | 25 |


| May | 26 | 15 | 34 | 24 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL | 471 | 152 | 586 | 408 |

TOTAL NAAL INTERLIBRARY LOAN
1,471

## Appendix 3

Percentages of ILL transactions filled through NAAL libraries

## June 1, 2013-May 31, 2014

Lending: Total originals loaned, all libraries ..... 779
Total originals loaned, NAAL libraries ..... 471
Percentage NAAL originals loan ..... 60.5\%
Total copies loaned, all libraries ..... 313
Total copies loaned, NAAL libraries ..... 152
Percentage NAAL copies loaned ..... 48.5\%
Percentage NAAL transactions of all loans ..... 57.0\%
Borrowing: Total originals borrowed, all libraries ..... 1049
Total originals borrowed, NAAL libraries ..... 586
Percentage NAAL originals borrowed ..... 55.9\%
Total copies borrowed, all libraries ..... 805
Total copies borrowed, NAAL libraries ..... 408
Percentage NAAL copies borrowed ..... 50.7\%
Percentage NAAL transactions of all borrowed items ..... 53.6\%
Total percentage of ILL transactions made throughNAAL libraries49.9\%

# Appendix 4A <br> Statistics for unfilled or canceled transactions (lending) June 1, 2013-May 31, 2014 

Unfilled Lending Transactions- Reason for Cancellation

Non-circulating 135
Holdings end before requested volume 365
Checked Out 58
Lack volume/issue 105
Holdings begin after requested volume 56
Other/undetermined 99
Not on Shelf 116
Title not owned 115
Exceeds max cost 18
Issue not yet received 2
At bindery 6
Lost 9
Not as cited 68
Exceeds copy limits 4

Total Lending Unfilled 1158

## Appendix 4B Reasons for Refusal of request by ILL unit

AUM holds material requested- reference book ..... 2
AUM holds material requested- circulating book ..... 49
AUM holds material requested- print periodical ..... 16
AUM hold material-microforms ..... 2
Filled from Full-text database ..... 8
Filled from Full-text database using DocDel Module ..... 74
Filled using internet site ..... 35
Filled from internet site using Doc Del Module ..... 218
Filled from print/micro periodicals using Doc Del Module ..... 32
Filled from circulating collection using Doc Del ..... 28
Filled from Gov Docs collection using Doc Del ..... 1
Available as ebook ..... 7
Bad Citation ..... 9
Time limit ..... 12
Not available on OCLC ..... 36
Policy Problem (Textbook) ..... 4
Cancelled by Patron ..... 37
Exceeds given Max Cost ..... 9
Policy Problem (not currently enrolled) ..... 8
Item is non-circulating ..... 3
Too new for interlibrary loan ..... 15
Item is in a foreign language ..... 9
Copyright restrictions ..... 11
Checked out ..... 1
Policy Problem- patron has library fines ..... 12
Total filled In house ..... 398
Total returned to patron unfilled ..... 166

## Appendix 4C Request filled from AUM Databases by Database

Academic OneFile ..... 2
Academic Search Premier ..... 6
BioOne ..... 3
Business Source Premier ..... 2
CINAHL ..... 1
Communication \& Mass Media Complete ..... 2
IEEE Explore ..... 3
JSTOR ..... 12
Oxford Journals ..... 2
Project Muse ..... 3
Newspaper Source ..... 1
ProQuest Education ..... 2
ProQuest Research Library ..... 9
PsycArticles ..... 2
PubMed Central ..... 1
Sage Publications ..... 4
Science Direct ..... 15
Springer Ebooks ..... 1
Sports Discus ..... 3
Total filled from AUM databases ..... 74

Appendix 5
Borrowing Requests by Department and User Status*

| Department | Faculty | Graduate | Staff | Undergraduate | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accounting | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| Biology | 36 | 1 | 54 | 29 | 120 |
| Business | 0 | 147 | 0 | 1 | 148 |
| Communication | 68 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 71 |
| Counseling and Leadership | 1 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 12 |
| Early Childhood Education | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Economics | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Education | 0 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 20 |
| English | 457 | 271 | 0 | 54 | 782 |
| Fine Arts | 45 | 0 | 105 | 12 | 162 |
| Foundations | 3 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| History | 439 | 52 | 0 | 21 | 512 |
| Information Systems | 3 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 11 |
| International Studies | 6 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Justice and Public Safety | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| Library | 16 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 34 |
| Management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Marketing | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Math | 57 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| Medical Technology | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 8 |
| Nursing | 15 | 12 | 0 | 21 | 48 |
| Other-Unlisted | 0 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 10 |


| Physical Sciences | 49 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 60 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Poli Sci/ Public Admin | 0 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 18 |
| Psychology | 28 | 54 | 0 | 2 | 84 |
| Sociology | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 |
| Sponsored Programs | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| TOTAL | 1289 | 618 | 200 | 177 | 2284 |

*Total includes items that were unfilled or filled "inhouse"

## Appendix 6

UB/ALLIES

Lending Requests Filled/Unfilled 6/1/2013-5/31/2014

| Status | Requests |
| :--- | :---: |
| Filled | 91 |
| Unfilled: In Process | 1 |
| Unfilled: Not owned | 1 |
| Unfilled: Not found | 15 |
| Unfilled: noncirc | 1 |
| Cancelled | 1 |
| Total | 110 |

Lending Statistics by Patrons' Home Library 6/1/2013-5/31/2014

| Library | Checko <br> uts | Requests |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Alabama | 40 | 30 |
| Auburn | 227 | 57 |
| Jacksonville <br> State | 20 | 23 |
| UAB | 3 | 0 |
| Total | 290 | 110 |

Borrowing Statistics by Holding Library 6/1/2013-5/31/2014

| Library | Checkouts | Requests | Walk-in <br> Checkouts <br> (est) | Unfilled <br> Requests <br> (est) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auburn | 410 | 193 | 217 | 0 |
| Alabama | 73 | 85 | No data | 12 |
| UAB | 68 | 73 | No data | 5 |
| Jacksonville State | 47 | 43 | 4 | 0 |
| Total | 598 | 394 | 221 | 17 |

## Library Automation

Submitted by:
Tim Bailey, Systems Librarian

## Mission

According to the Library Mission Statement, the AUM Library "provides access to information resources to support the curriculum and research needs of AUM's students, faculty and staff." Library Automation does this by providing access to our many electronic resources, including databases, searchable indexes, and the online catalog, and indirectly by providing access to data on the use of these resources for collection development purposes. Access to the electronic resources is provided for both on campus and off campus users.

## Voyager

During the 2013-2014 year, Library Automation continued to maintain a high-level of workload. With the continued addition of numerous electronic bibliographic records, the number of records in the Library's Voyager online catalog has grown to 1,131,712 bibliographic records as of May 31, 2014 (see Table 1). An additional 53,108 suppressed bibliographic records for items no longer owned by the Library remain in the catalog.

Library Automation uses Voyager functions to provide data for use in the collection development process. These functions included setting up Access Reports that pull detailed information about purchases, invoices, and line items. These reports will be especially useful during auditing. SQL queries for use with Access Reports were created/revised and distributed. Other new statistics pulled include the setup of the Voyager search logging functions. This search logging allows the retrieval of data including the number of searches run during a given time period (see Table 2), the search strings, and the internet IP address of the system running searches.

E-mail notices notifying patrons of incipient overdues and fines and fees continue to be generated by Circulation staff, reducing the costs associated with printing and mailing notices on paper. These notices are e-mailed using Voyager's Reporter client, interfacing with the AUM email server. Assistance was provided in saving/recovering notices already sent.

Voyager was upgraded over the December break, as per usual. The Auburn and AUM Libraries are now running version 8.2.0 of the Voyager ILS (integrated library system).

Electronic records continued to be added to the catalog (see Table 3). These included the EBSCO ebook collection (formerly the netLibrary collection), GPO, and Springer e-books collections, and the U.S. Congressional Serials Set records.

Records continued to be added to Voyager for both the internet (113,326 records added in 20132014) and government documents (150,977 records added) locations (see Table 3). These records included the continued addition of the Springer e-book collection, the addition of ejournal records, Government Documents, Films on Demand records, and the continued addition of the Humanities E-book Collection holdings, among others. The number of records added to

Government Documents increased over last year, while the records added to the location "internet" decreased.

A total of 8,464 records were deleted from Voyager (see Table 4). These records were mostly composed of items removed from the main circulating collection, the Browsing Collection, periodicals, offsite storage, and $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor storage. Items were removed from other areas as well, though these five areas were the ones principally involved in the discarding.

Beginning in the Spring 2013, the patron expire update was run as was the patron purge function. This process allows the deletion of patrons who are no longer attending AUM and who have not been active within three years. The deletion of patrons with historic fines was not enabled, allowing the recovery of that data should it be needed. This process is not run continuously, as the need is not pressing. However, it will be run during each semester break. Students were loaded into Voyager on a twice weekly basis.

## Database (non-Voyager) Maintenance

The 20\% cut to the Library's O \& M budget required cancellation of databases. Based on cost per search/full text retrieval, the databases dropped included LexisNexis, Web of Science, Resources for College Libraries, Wiley Interscience, Biography Reference Bank, and the OCLC Collection Manager Assessment tool, among others. However, the Library was able to subscribe to several databases requested by faculty. These include the Ambrose BBC Shakespeare video collection; Films on Demand; the Publications of the American Physiological Society; the Annual Review of Psychology; and a number of journals which migrated from printed subscriptions to electronic-only versions. Work was done to set up electronic journals to replace or supplement printed subscriptions wherever possible as an adjunct to the cancellation process and to free up space in the Library.

The number of databases listed on the Library's databases by alphabetic order page is now at 154 unique databases. There are also 174 proxied resources, a rise from the 2011-2012 year count of 133 resources. These resources include databases, electronic journals, electronic monograph collections and websites. Usage statistics for the databases and logins to retrieve these statistics were provided to the appropriate parties throughout the year.

The EZProxy software, which handles the authentication of patrons for off-campus access to the Library's databases, was updated in August 2013. SerialsSolutions, the Library's third party journal finding service, was updated to reflect current holdings and now includes e-journals from individual publishers as well as some non-full-text databases and monographic collections.

The Library gets database trials through the Network of Alabama Academic Libraries (NAAL) and from vendors of databases the Library is considering. The trial databases are listed on a database trial page in LibGuides.

The Systems Librarian works with the School of Nursing and the "AUM-at-AU" program to ensure that cross-enrolled students have off-campus access to the Library's databases. Most queries concerning off-campus access come from faculty and School of Nursing students at the Auburn campus.

## Other Activities

ILLIAD, the Library's interlibrary loan system, was upgraded in Spring 2014 to version 8.4. The Systems Librarian worked with Karen Williams and Larry Brumby to establish the new version and connectivity with OCLC's Worldshare ILL Service. This required configuration of a new server and installation of an SSL security certificate. Bill Broadway in IT Services assisted with the purchase and set up of the certificate. Assistance with restarting ILLIAD services when server is updated and rebooted throughout the year was provided.

ARES is the Library's electronic reserves system. The Systems Librarian worked with Circulation and IT Services to resolve problem with access to electronic reserves from Blackboard from off campus. The server had not been made accessible to off campus traffic

EBSCO EDS is the Library's "discovery service," branded as Multi-search on the Library's website. A discovery service is a third party subscription-based service which seeks to integrate numerous data sources (including the library's online catalog and online serials databases) into one seamless interface. Throughout the year, weekly updates of holdings were sent to EBSCO for replacement of older holdings, allowing for currency of data. New resources were added as they were licensed by EDS. Currently we have coverage of numerous EBSCO, Gale, JSTOR, and other resources in EDS. A problem with the automated loading process at BSCO's end was resolved in Spring 2014.

## Work with Technical Services and Public Services

In 2012, the Library added Springer E-books. Springer materials continued to be added on a monthly basis. The Systems Librarian provided log files, including bib and MFHD IDs to Technical Services for verification of access. When a problem with access to the Springer titles was identified, the Systems Librarian worked with librarians using the NAAL list of Springer titles to verify access to these titles. This involved setting up, suppressing, and deleting bibliographic and holdings records for Springer titles with no access. A list of 287 Springer titles not in the Library's catalog was created so the Technical Services could add the titles. In collaboration with John Gantt and Amanda Scott, a workflow for loading and verifying access to new records from the Springer collections was established.

Additionally, Technical Services along with the Automation was highly involved in the process of removing materials from the Library. To facilitate this, MacroExpress, a suite of tools which allows users to assign simple keystrokes to accomplish complicated, multistep processes through the creation of a repetitive program called a "macro," was updated and redeployed to those staff and faculty working on the removal project. Macros, the small, user generated processes, were developed and tested by the Systems Librarian and then copied to the machines of staff and faculty using MacroExpress in the weeding project. Macros can be used in a number of ways, across platforms and software; as such, the development and use of these macros is not limited to the process of removing materials, but can be developed for any repetitive process, allowing for increased efficiency and savings in terms of time and manpower.

An inventory of the Library's collections was begun in Spring 2014. The Systems Librarian worked with Larry Brumby and Karen Williams to set up the Library Stacks Management

System (LSMS) for the inventory and did troubleshooting on problems encountered during the inventory process. The LSMS software and Voyager Access reports were installed on a laptop that could be used on the Tower floors during the inventory. The Access Query to pull data for the inventory was modified. A secondary installation to serve as a "catch" for items missed in initial sweep (i.e., items checked out when section initially inventoried, now returned and to be accounted for) was created.

## Goals for the Upcoming Year

1. Ensure continuous operation of the system; communicate regularly with Auburn Library and with AUM staff and vendor as needed. Arrange alternative sources for system when needed.
2. Review and identify possible open source systems that will allow the library to move away from Voyager as the ILS system within 3 to 5 years.
3. Provide training to new staff members on system functionality for their area(s) of responsibility.
4. Continue with the deletion of expired patron records in Voyager.
5. Troubleshoot problems with databases.
6. Keep current with changes and upgrades to databases.
7. Communicate with EBSCO as needed. Maintain the multi-search discovery service and make recommendations on changes and upgrades.

## Table 1

## Number of Records in Voyager

Bibliographic count
o unsuppressed records $=1,131,712$
o suppressed records $=53,108$
Item count
o unsuppressed records $=281,806$
o suppressed records $=40,824$
Holdings count
o unsuppressed records $=1,209,267$
o suppressed records $=19,759$
Table 2
Searches in Voyager
2,509,620 searches run between June 1, 2013 and May 31, 2014 (This increase in searches is likely related to the use of the EBSCO Discovery Service)
o compared to $1,859,939$ searches run in the same period for 2012-2013
o 436,958 searches run in 2011-2012
o 392,903 searches run in 2010-2011

Table 3 Items Added to Voyager

Bibliographic records added to location internet $=113,326$
o 732,752 total bib records in location internet as of May 31, 2014
o monographs in location internet $=728,994$
o Serials in location internet $=913$
o Other formats (only 6 suppressed) $=2,746$
o These formats include non-book and non-serials formats such as books, visual materials, maps, sound recordings, computer files.

Bibliographic records added to location Government Documents $=150,977$ (These materials include microfiche, maps, and documents available over the internet)

## Table 4 <br> Items Deleted from Voyager

8,464 items deleted in total from all locations, including reserves
o 290 from location main
o 111 from location "main,bc" (Browsing collection)
o 8 from location "main,fiche"
o 2 from location "main,os" (oversized)
o 422 from locations in periodicals, including 166 in microfilm and 1 in "per,resv"
o 643 from locations in Reference (includes 140 from Legal Reference and 42 from ready reference)
o 758 from location 2nd floor storage
o 2 from location closed stacks
o 6,060 from location offsite storage
o 1 from location Special Collections
o 7 from location "automation"
o 11 from location "av"
o 2 from location "cat"
o 1 from location "cd-rom"
o 6 from location "circ"
o 1 from damaged
o 2 from location "disk"
o 5 from Government Documents locations
o 61 from location "internet"
o 2 from location "media"
o 9 from location Starr Smith collection
o 26 from location "video"
o 96 from location Reserves

## Library Instruction

Submitted by
Barbara Hightower, Dean \& Library Instruction Coordinator

The Library Instruction program supports the mission of the Library to facilitate lifelong learning within the AUM community by teaching the information literacy skills necessary to effectively locate, evaluate, and use information.

The Library Instruction program saw a decrease of $33.6 \%$ in the number of sessions taught and 31.5\% in the number of students attending those sessions over the previous year (see tables below). Beginning with the Fall 2013 semester, students in ENGL1010 were no longer required to do a research assignment. Consequently we saw a $56 \%$ decrease in the number of sessions done for English Composition courses over the year. The English composition courses accounted for $36.7 \%$ of the sessions taught.

## Library Instruction Sessions

2013-2014 Compared with 2008-2009, 2009-2010, 2010-2011, 2011-2012, \& 2012-2013

| Month | Instruction <br> Sessions <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | Instruction <br> Sessions <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | Instruction <br> Sessions <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | Instruction <br> Sessions <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ | Instruction <br> Sessions <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 2 0 1 3}$ | Instruction <br> Sessions <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 2 0 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June | 13 | 18 | 15 | 9 | 10 | 6 |
| July | 5 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| August | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 17 |
| September | 37 | 45 | 27 | 21 | 14 | 8 |
| October | 17 | 19 | 19 | 36 | 26 | 14 |
| November | 14 | 3 | 26 | 14 | 12 | 2 |
| December | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| January | 24 | 19 | 12 | 13 | 5 | 3 |
| February | 15 | 15 | 19 | 9 | 23 | 19 |
| March | 10 | 15 | 13 | 15 | 8 | 4 |
| April | 6 | 8 | 14 | 4 | 7 | 5 |
| May | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{1 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 9}$ |

Number of Students Attending Library Instruction Sessions 2013-2014 Compared with 2008-2009, 2009-2010, 2010-2011, 2011-2012, \& 2012-2013

| Month | Students <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 8 - 2 0 0 9}$ | Students <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 - 2 0 1 0}$ | Students <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0 - 2 0 1 1}$ | Students <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1 - 2 0 1 2}$ | Students <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 2 0 1 3}$ | Students <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 2 0 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June | 191 | 234 | 197 | 139 | 131 | 79 |
| July | 56 | 32 | 27 | 46 | 52 | 17 |
| August | 148 | 144 | 136 | 143 | 197 | 300 |
| September | 703 | 843 | 519 | 377 | 232 | 120 |
| October | 302 | 353 | 285 | 513 | 402 | 200 |
| November | 245 | 61 | 413 | 197 | 180 | 36 |
| December | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| January | 496 | 367 | 241 | 270 | 58 | 54 |
| February | 239 | 362 | 303 | 157 | 389 | 314 |
| March | 155 | 264 | 201 | 214 | 125 | 80 |
| April | 89 | 132 | 193 | 75 | 110 | 85 |
| May | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{2 6 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 8 5}$ |

Library Instruction Sessions for English Composition Courses
2013-2014 Compared with 2008-2009, 2009-2010, 2010-2011, 2011-2012, \& 2012-2013

| Month | 2008-2009 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}-\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}-\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}-\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}-\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | 2013-2014 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June | 6 | 10 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 1 |
| July | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| August | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| September | 11 | 18 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| October | 14 | 13 | 12 | 19 | 20 | 9 |
| November | 11 | 2 | 17 | 10 | 9 | 0 |
| December | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| January | 8 | 4 | 13 | 5 | 2 | 0 |
| February | 11 | 11 | 11 | 5 | 14 | 13 |
| March | 8 | 8 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 0 |
| April | 3 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 1 |
| May | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 9}$ |

In addition to instruction sessions for individual courses, the Library Instruction Coordinator spoke at the New Faculty orientation in August.

## Goals for 2014-2015

- Create online materials that can be used for courses taught on campus and online.
- Hire a library instruction coordinator if funding becomes available


## Reference

Submitted by Barbara Hightower, Library Dean<br>Maranda Faulk, Senior Library Associate

Reference supports the mission of the AUM Library by providing access to information resources to support the curriculum and research needs of AUM's students, faculty, and staff and assists in providing for the informational needs of the general public.

Reference transactions (reference questions, directional and telephone questions) increased each semester for an increase of $8 \%$ overall. The numbers for the Reference transactions are consistently under reported.

Shelving of Reference materials and serials decreased each semester with an overall decrease of $32 \%$. The decrease in the number of materials re-shelved is partially attributable to the subscription cancellations to some of our print periodicals and to the weeding of print and microform periodicals.

Some microform materials were returned to the second floor of the Library from our off-site storage facility. This was done when space was cleared to make room for a Speech \& Hearing classroom adjacent to the Speech \& Hearing Clinic. The Clinic is located in the same building that houses our off-site materials.

## SEMESTER

## *REFERENCE TRANSACTIONS

**MATERIALS
RESHELVED

## SUMMER

1,604
384
2012-2013
1,487
644
Change
$+8 \%$
-40\%

## FALL

2013-2014
4,774
822
2012-2013
4,591
1,385
\% Change
$+4 \%$
-41\%

SPRING
2013-2014
4,230 756
2012-2013
3,758
841
\% Change
$+13 \% \quad-10 \%$

TOTALS
2013-2014
$\begin{array}{cc}10,608 & 1,962 \\ 9,836 & 2,870 \\ +8 \% & -32 \%\end{array}$
2012-2013
\% Change

## * Reference Transactions include: telephone requests; help with machines; directional questions; and general reference, which includes serials and assistance with electronic databases. <br> ** Materials Reshelved (both paper and microform formats) include: reference materials and serials.

*** All statistics are "best estimates only" and tend to be under-recorded due to difficulty in recording all reference transactions and materials reshelved.

# Technical Services Department 

Submitted by John Gantt, Head of Technical Services<br>Staff<br>Amanda Scott, Cataloging Librarian I<br>Anne Mulder, Senior Library Associate<br>Diane Westfall, Senior Library Associate<br>Anita Griffith, Library Assistant

## Mission

Technical Services is comprised of three units: Acquisitions, Serials-Acquisitions and Cataloging. The Department actively supports the educational mission of the Library and the University community by acquiring monographic, serial and electronic resources and by building and maintaining a catalog that provides accurate and up-to-date information about the universe of resources, both in the Library and online, that are available to the AUM community. We create records for the materials we acquire and classify them in order to make those materials accessible. The Department is responsible for the ongoing maintenance and quality control of the information in the catalog. Our goal is to ensure that it facilitates the identification and retrieval of materials which support the scholarly, educational and personal needs of the AUM community.

## Staff Changes

There were no staff changes in Technical Services over the course of AY 2013-2014, except that Anita Griffith became a full-time employee of the University on September 1, 2013, with a primary assignment in Reference. She continues to assist with Serials for part of her time, typically a couple of hours per day, depending on workflow needs in Serials and in Reference. She continues to contribute significantly to our ongoing workflow, as well as to special projects in Serials, most notably the latest round of the periodicals withdrawal project.

## Statistical Highlights

Acquisitions: After last year's 102.9\% increase in new titles ordered, this year we experienced a decline. Acquisitions staff ordered 1,281 new titles in 2013-2014, including 11 video-recordings. This figure represents a decrease of 748 titles, or approximately $36.9 \%$ fewer than last year’s total of 2,029. Given the decline in our book budget this year, this decrease was perhaps to be expected. The following are our cumulative statistics for gifts received this year by category: 151 hardback books; 123 paperbacks; 389 periodical issues and 46 miscellaneous-format items, for a total of 709 items. This total reflects a substantial decrease of 1,705 gift items, or $70.6 \%$ fewer than we received last year $(2,414)$. Much of this decrease can be attributed to the fact that we are no longer receiving periodical gift issues from one individual who donated many hundreds of issues per year in the past.

The unit received 1,727 volumes/items (1,713 books, 13 videos and 1 CD-ROM), or 197 fewer items than last year, which represents a decrease of about $10.2 \%$.

Acquisitions staff also completed the physical processing of 1,710 books this year.
Cataloging: Cataloging staff added 1,991 titles to the catalog, which represents a slight decline of $3.4 \%$ from last year, when we added 2,062 titles. This figure includes print materials (both gifts and purchased items) as well as audio-visual materials. Despite the minor dip from last year's number, the figure is still larger than year before last. Given the relative lack of funds for books in the budget, annual statistics for titles added are likely to remain in the modest range for the foreseeable future. The number of volumes added to the collection (for titles already held) also declined this year. Last year 507 such volumes were added; this year we added 458, for a decrease of about 9.7\%. This figure includes multi-part monographs (both gifts and purchased items), annuals and other continuations, and periodical volumes from the bindery. Much of the decrease in added volumes can be attributed to the continuing trend of dropping serial print subscriptions in favor of electronic-only access. As a result of the ongoing $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor periodicals weeding project, this trend has continued in 2013-2014. Thirty-four copies of works already held were added to the collection this year; given our limited funds, our focus is on acquiring new materials, rather than adding copies of items already held.

Due to the ongoing nature of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor reference and periodicals withdrawal project as well as a major increase in withdrawal of microform items, our statistics once again reflect a very high number of items withdrawn from the collection this academic year. Taking into account items weeded from all locations (primarily Reference, Periodicals, Microfilm and Microfiche, but also from Main), we withdrew 6,291 titles, 16,984 added volumes, and 26 copies, for a total of 23,301 volumes/items withdrawn, which falls short of last year's total of 37,959 volumes, but is still a remarkable number. In a more typical year, withdrawal numbers would be dramatically lower; for example, over the course of the academic year 2010-2011, we withdrew a total of 900 volumes.

## Projects

This academic year, the Department's most extensive project has been the ongoing withdrawal effort aimed primarily at materials on $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor, as well as some from our offsite storage location. Although we did complete significant numbers of withdrawals of monographs and reference works, i.e., a little under 900 volumes, we withdrew a substantially greater number of print periodical volumes, i.e., over 3,800. (That figure does not include withdrawals completed by Anita and Amanda in June and July 2014 as part of a push to withdraw additional titles from $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor storage, since the academic year ends on May 31). In addition, a massive number of microform items, i.e. 18,667, were withdrawn from both $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor and offsite storage over the course of the year. This number includes over 5,200 microfiche titles, the great majority of which were from the Library of American Civilization (LAC), and over 13,400 reels of microfilm. Each of these items required attention to and editing of records in both the Voyager and OCLC databases, as well as physical processing in some cases. Many of the titles in question required time-consuming research and resolution of problems with serial records in order to ensure the accuracy of our holdings in Voyager and OCLC. In all, as noted above, we withdrew 23,301 items from the collection over the course of the academic year. Once again, Technical Services faculty and staff pulled together and worked efficiently and effectively as a team to complete a
great deal of work in a relatively short period of time. It is my understanding that comparablysized institutions would typically allow significantly more time for completion of a withdrawal project of this magnitude.

Other noteworthy projects undertaken by Technical Services over the course of the year reflect the Library's increasing emphasis on acquiring electronic materials. One of these projects was focused on EBSCO e-journal subscriptions. Technical Services faculty and staff have been collaborating with the Systems Librarian to add records for these e-journals to the Voyager catalog, taking care to ensure that links to the content are functioning properly and that e-journal content is accessible through both Voyager and the Serials Solutions interface. On the monographic side, we have also spent significant time and effort on the NAAL/Springer e-book project, checking the associated records in Voyager to verify that links to Springer e-book content are working, and suppressing or un-suppressing records as necessary. We have kept notes for the Systems Librarian as to which records had working links, and which records on the NAAL master list were not in Voyager at all. Currently, we are continuing to work with the Systems Librarian to identify Springer e-book records that should be deleted from Voyager and from OCLC, and we will continue to verify newly added Springer e-book titles on a regular basis.

## Staff Activities

Diane Westfall attended acquisitions- and cataloging-related webinars, including "Acquisitions for new GOBI users" and "RDA and editing bibliographic records." She attended a training/ demonstration on the new EBSCO e-book platform given by Lori McGill, and she met with John Laraway, our YBP representative, regarding the ordering of e-books. Diane also served on the Patron-Driven Acquisitions Sub-committee, working with the librarians on establishing policies and procedures for patron-driven acquisitions. She also attended an AUM Human Resourceshosted training session on avoiding burnout. Diane volunteered twice at the Alabama Regional Library for the Blind. In addition to her regular acquisitions and copy cataloging duties, Diane also assisted with the removal of Library of American Civilization (LAC) records from the catalog, and she assisted with checking Springer e-book URLs for content access.

Anne Mulder attended a training session on avoiding burnout offered by AUM Human Resources. Anne also played a significant role in resolving issues with obtaining access to titlelevel electronic journal subscriptions, in terms of contacting and following up with vendors as well as coordinating with the efforts of Amanda and Tim to correct catalog records for the titles in question (Amanda), to insert working links in those records (Tim and Amanda), and to establish access to the titles through Serials Solutions as well (Tim). As part of the ongoing $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor withdrawal project, she also assisted with resolving problems related to serial records that arose in the process of withdrawing many thousands of periodical volumes from the collection.

Anita Griffith, as noted above, became a full-time Library Assistant in September 2013, with a primary appointment in Reference, but she continues to assist us with Serials, and so I am including her in this report, but I am only listing activities that pertain to her work with us in Technical Services. Over the course of the reporting year, Anita helped to close out serial titles that were being cancelled and/or going online only. She also played a key role in the latest round
of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor periodical withdrawal project, which this time focused primarily on microfilm and print periodical volumes from $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor storage. She pulled titles for which we had database and/or microfilm coverage, and researched a number of problematic records along the way. Her attention to detail and accuracy were key assets to the successful completion of this phase of the project.

Amanda Scott continued to serve as the Secretary/Treasurer of the Alabama Chapter of the Special Libraries Association. She also served on the AUM Library Collection Development Committee, the Patron-Driven Acquisitions Subcommittee, and the Emergency/Disaster Handbook Committee. She took on the role of Local Funding Information Network Supervisor for the Foundation Center. At the University level, she served on the Calendar Committee and the APR Administrative Committee. She co-authored a paper with Rickey Best and Tim Bailey, entitled "Cost Differentials between E-Books and Print in Academic Libraries," which was accepted for publication in College \& Research Libraries. She attended the Annual Convention of the Alabama Library Association in Huntsville, where she and Tim Bailey gave a presentation on the topic of their paper. She attended webinars and online training sessions on topics such as Resource Description and Access, Foundation Center Network Days, and planning for the promotion and tenure process. She attended an AUM training session on embracing change, as well as a demonstration of EBSCO's e-book platform. Amanda contributed significantly to the ongoing $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor withdrawal projects for reference and periodicals, as well as the withdrawal of offsite items (microform, including periodicals and the LAC), and she assisted with the verifying of content links in Springer e-book records. As part of the withdrawal projects, Amanda researched and corrected records as needed in both Voyager and OCLC.

John Gantt served as Treasurer of the Alabama Library Association, which entailed extensive work in overseeing the Association's bank accounts as well as drafting a proposed operating budget for the Association for the 2014-2015 budget year. He also successfully presented his proposed budget to Executive Council for their approval. He continued to serve as the Library's representative on the Faculty Welfare Committee and the Outreach Grant Committee. He also served on the Library's Collection Development Committee, the Patron-Driven Acquisitions Subcommittee, and the Library Dean Search Committee. He attended the Annual Convention of the Alabama Library Association, as well as webinars and online training sessions on Resource Description and Access ("RDA in Action," RDA Toolkit Essentials, and the RDA training workshop facilitated by AACRL, CUS and TSSRT). He attended a demonstration of the new EBSCO e-book platform. He attended AUM training sessions on topics such as embracing change and avoiding burnout in the workplace. He also oversaw and coordinated the Department's contribution to the ongoing $2^{\text {nd }}$ floor withdrawal project, which entailed extensive editing of Voyager records, researching and correcting of problematic records, maintaining and compiling statistics on items withdrawn, and maintaining accurate information on our holdings in the local catalog as well as in OCLC Connexion, the international bibliographic database. He assisted with the verifying of content links in Springer e-book records and coordinated and collaborated with the Systems Librarian and the Cataloging Librarian I to correct and/or remove problematic Springer e-book records from Voyager, as necessary.

